Programming with Goals (2)

A

M. Birna van Riemsdijk, TU Delft, The Netherlands GOAL slides adapted from MAS course slides by Hindriks 4/24/11



Challenge the future

Recap

- BDI philosophy & logics
 - goals as consistent set of chosen desires
 - intention as goals that agent commits to
- Explicit representation of goals in agent systems
 - enables reasoning about goals
- Representation of goals: XML, (conjunctions of) atoms
- GOAL mental states: beliefs, goals, knowledge
- Goal types



Outline

- Dynamics of goals
- Dynamics of goals in GOAL: Action Specification & Selection



1.

Dynamics of Goals



Dynamics of Goals

- When and how to adopt goals?
 - Internal motivation: beliefs, other goals, desires
 - External motivation: requests, obligations
- When and how to drop goals?
 - Commitment strategies
- When and how to suspend goals?
 - Priorities, goal conflict



Goal Life Cycle (1)

L. Braubach, A. Pokahr, D. Moldt, and W. Lamersdorf. Goal representation for BDI agent systems. In ProMAS'04, volume 3346 of LNAI, pages 44–65. Springer, Berlin, 2005



Goal Life Cycle (2)

M. B. van Riemsdijk, M. Dastani, and M. Winikoff. Goals in agent systems: A unifying framework. In Padgham, Parkes, Müller, and Parsons, editors, *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS), pages 713–720. IFAAMAS, 2008.*





Dropping goals: Recall...

- Intention is choice with commitment
- But... what does commitment mean exactly?



Commitment Strategies

Anand S. Rao and Michael P. Georgeff, 'Modeling rational agents within a BDI-architecture', in Proc. of KR'91, (1991).

- Blind commitment: keep intentions until believed they are achieved
- Single-minded commitment: keep intentions until believed they are achieved, or believed they are impossible to achieve
- Open-minded commitment: keep intentions until believed they are achieved, or they are no longer goals of the agent

Commitment strategies concern when (not) to drop intentions

ŤUDelft

Commitment Strategies for Goals (1)

M. Winikoff, L. Padgham, J. Harland, and J. Thangarajah, 'Declarative and procedural goals in intelligent agent systems', in Proc. of KR'02, (2002).

Somehow...

 commitment strategies for intentions as proposed in BDI logics translated to similar commitment strategies for goals in agent programming languages



Commitment Strategies for Goals (2)

M. Winikoff, L. Padgham, J. Harland, and J. Thangarajah, 'Declarative and procedural goals in intelligent agent systems', in Proc. of KR'02, (2002).

Winikoff et al. (2002):

- Persistent: A goal should only be deleted when it succeeds or where there is a good reason for dropping it.
- Unachieved: A goal to achieve *s* should be dropped when s is true.
- Possible: Failure condition *f* defines when a goal should be dropped with failure; failure condition expresses impossibility to achieve.
- \approx single-minded commitment



Perspectives on Dropping Goals

M. B. van Riemsdijk, M. Dastani, F. Dignum, and J.-J. Ch. Meyer. Dynamics of declarative goals in agent programming. In Proc of DALT'04, volume 3476 of LNAI, pages 1–18, 2005.

- Dropping over transition
 - transition from one configuration into another: $c \rightarrow c'$
 - dropping of goal ϕ over $c \to c'$ agent has goal $\phi\,$ in $c\,$ but not in c'
- Two perspectives
 - deletion perspective: deletion of goal from goal base
 - satisfaction perspective: formula $\mathbf{G}\phi$ becomes unsatisfied



Commitment Strategy of GOAL

 Goals are dropped from goal base when believed to be achieved (deletion perspective)

 \approx blind commitment

- Mental state condition a-goal(ϕ) holds if ϕ is not believed (satisfaction perspective)
- Goals can also be dropped using the built-in drop action
 can be used to implement single-minded commitment



Commitment Strategy of Jason

J. F. Hubner, R. H. Bordini, and M. Wooldridge. Programming declarative goals using plan patterns. In M. Baldoni and U. Endriss, editors, Declarative Agent Languages and Technologies IV, pages 123–140. Springer, 2006.

- Drop achievement goal from event base as soon as plan for it has been selected
- But, commitment strategies can be programmed using plan patterns

+!g:
$$g \leftarrow \text{true.}$$

+!g: $c \leftarrow p$; ?g.
-!g: true \leftarrow !g



Suspending Tasks (= Goals or Plans)

J. Thangarajah, J. Harland, D. Morley, and N. Yorke-Smith. Suspending and resuming tasks in BDI agents. In Proc. of AAMAS'08, 2008.

Reasons for suspension:

- Conflicts between tasks
- Positive interaction
- Invalid context
- No applicable plan
- Changing priorities
- Requests from other agents



Suspending & Aborting Goals J. Thangarajah, J. Harland, D. Morley, and N. Yorke-Smith. Operational Behaviour for Executing, Suspending, and

Aborting Goals in BDI Agent Systems. In Proc. of DALT'10, 2010.



Goal Generation in BOID

Goal Generation in the BOID Architecture, Jan Broersen, Mehdi Dastani, Joris Hulstijn and Leendert van der Torre, Cognitive Science Quarterly, Volume 2, Issue 3-4, 2002.



- Conditional rules for generating goals, based on mental attitudes
 - Beliefs, Obligation, Intention, Desire
 - Technically, goal set is extension in default logic
- Priorities over mental attitudes create agent types



Goal Adoption in GOAL

- Goals can be inserted into goal base in initial mental state
- Goals can be adopted at run-time using the built-in adopt action, conditional on mental state



2.

Dynamics of Goals in GOAL: Action Specification & Action Selection



Actions Change Environment...





...and Require Updating Mental States: Beliefs

 To ensure adequate beliefs after performing an action the belief base needs to be updated (and possibly the goal base).



 Add effects to belief base: insert on (a,d) after move (a,d).

• Delete old beliefs: delete on (a,b) after move (a,d).



...and Require Updating Mental States: Goals

• If a goal has been (believed to be) completely achieved, the goal is removed from the goal base.



- Default update implements a blind commitment strategy.
- Goal base updates as "side effect" of belief base updates



Action Specifications

ŤUDelft

- Actions in GOAL have preconditions and postconditions (STRIPS-style)
- Executing an action in GOAL means:
 - Preconditions are conditions that need to be true:
 - Check preconditions on the belief base.
 - Postconditions (effects) are add/delete lists:
 - Add positive literals in the postcondition
 - Delete negative literals in the postcondition





Actions Specifications



Actions Specifications

```
move(X,Y) {
    pre { clear(X), clear(Y), on(X,Z) }
    post { not(on(X,Z)), on(X,Y) }
}
```

Example: move(a,b)





Built-in Actions

Adopting and dropping goals:

- adopt(<conjunction of positive literals>)
 meaning: add a **new** goal to goal base (if not already **implied** by a goal)
- drop(<conjunction>)

meaning: remove **all** goals that imply <conjunction> from the goal base

Inserting and deleting beliefs:

- insert(<conjunction>)
- delete(<conjunction>)



Drop Action

• Is goal in goal base

<u>Check</u>: does goal

dropped?

drop(on(b,a), not(on(c,table)))

```
knowledge{
  block(X) :- on(X, Y).
  clear(X) :- block(X), not(on(Y,X)).
  clear(table).
  tower([X]) :- on(X, table).
  tower([X,Y|T]):- on(X,Y),tower([Y|T]).
}
goals{
  on(a,table), on(b,a), on(c,b),
  on(d,table), on(e,table), on(f,e),
  on(g,f), on(h,g), on(i,h).
}
```

imply on (b, a) , not (on (c, table)) ?

• <u>A</u>: Yes, so goal is removed by drop action.



Action Selection in Agent-Oriented Programming

- How do humans choose and/or explain actions?
- Examples:
 - I believe it rains; so, I will take an umbrella with me.
 - I go to the video store because I want to rent I-robot.
 - I don't believe busses run today so I take the train.
- BDI not only for explaining & predicting, but also for programming!
- Use intuitive common sense concepts:

beliefs + goals => action



Selecting Actions: Action Rules

- Action rules are used to define a strategy for action selection.
- Defining a strategy for blocks world:
 - If constructive move can be made, make it.
 - If block is misplaced, move it to table.

```
program{
```

```
if bel(tower([Y|T])), a-goal(tower([X,Y|T])) then move(X,Y).
if a-goal(tower([X|T])) then move(X,table).
```

• What happens:

- Check condition, e.g. can a-goal (tower([X|T])) be derived given current mental state of agent?
- Yes, then (potentially) select move (X, table).



Order of Action Rules

- Action rules are executed by default in linear order.
 The first rule that fires is executed.
 - program{

if bel(tower([Y|T])), a-goal(tower([X,Y|T])) then move(X,Y).
if a-goal(tower([X|T])) then move(X,table).

Default order can be changed to random.
Arbitrary rule that is able to fire may be selected.

```
program[order=random]{
```

```
if bel(tower([Y|T])), a-goal(tower([X,Y|T])) then move(X,Y).
if a-goal(tower([X|T])) then move(X,table).
```



Summary

- Dynamics of goals
 - goal life cycle
 - adopting, dropping & suspending goals
- Action specification
 - pre- and postcondition
 - update beliefs
 - built-in actions for adopting/dropping goals
- Action selection
 - action rules with mental state condition

