

**Review Form: 1<sup>st</sup> International Workshop on  
Services and Infrastructure for the Ubiquitous and Mobile Internet (SIUMI'05)**



**SIUMI 2005**

**WEB MINDS**

Columbus, Ohio,  
USA, June 6<sup>th</sup>, 2005

In conjunction with the 25th Int. Conference on Distributed Computing Systems (**ICDCS'05**)

Paper Number: 26

Paper Title: Distributed Balancing with Application-Layer Anycast for Home Agent  
Discovery on the Mobile IPv6

Authors: Yun-Sheng Yen, Chia-Chang Hsu and Han-Chieh Chao

---

**Reviewer1:**

<b>Familiarity</b> Rate your familiarity with the topic	1	2	<b>(X)3</b>		4
	Novice	Some knowledge	Familiar		Expert
<b>Significance</b> Technical relevance and practicality of ideas in the paper	1	2	3		
	Not significant	Somewhat significant	Highly significant		
<b>Novelty</b> How original the problem and/or solution method is	1	2	3		
	Not novel	Somewhat novel	Highly novel		
<b>Quality of Presentation</b> Writing and presentation style/accuracy	<b>(X)1</b>		2	3	
	Poorly written		Could be improved	Well written	
<b>Overall Recommendation</b>	<b>(X)1</b>	2	3	4	5
	Strong reject	Weak reject	Weak accept	Accept	Strong accept

**Contributions**

This paper appears to be addressing the issue of combining load balancing within the anycast protocol as applied to mobile IP. I am not sure what "discovering" the home agent means in this context. It seems that they have come up with some techniques for improving the performance of handoffs in Mobile IP networks using anycasting.

**Strengths and weaknesses**

This paper is absolutely unreadable. The authors appear to have done quite a bit of work, but with the poor writing it is even difficult to figure out what the contribution is, let alone whether it is interesting or useful. I cannot say anything meaningful without understanding the details. I recommend that the authors get some help in writing the technical material so that a reader can understand what they are trying to say.

## Detailed public comments

Paper is so poorly written that this reviewer cannot figure out what the contribution is.

---

### Reviewer2:

<b>Familiarity</b> Rate your familiarity with the topic	<u>1</u>	2	3	4	
	<u>Novice</u>	Some knowledge	Familiar	Expert	
<b>Significance</b> Technical relevance and practicality of ideas in the paper	1	2	3		
	Not significant	Somewhat significant	Highly significant		
<b>Novelty</b> How original the problem and/or solution method is	1	2	3		
	Not novel	Somewhat novel	Highly novel		
<b>Quality of Presentation</b> Writing and presentation style/accuracy	<u>1</u>	2	3		
	<u>Poorly written</u>	Could be improved	Well written		
<b>Overall Recommendation</b>	<u>1</u>	2	3	4	5
	<u>Strong reject</u>	Weak reject	Weak accept	Accept	Strong accept

## Contributions

The paper is so badly written that is impossible to understand whether it makes any meaningful contribution. Moreover, its length (24 pages!!) is well beyond the page limits set in the call for papers.

## Strengths and weaknesses

The quality of the English and of the overall paper organization is very poor. Page number well beyond the page limits

## Detailed public comments

**Reviewer3:**

<b>Familiarity</b> Rate your familiarity with the topic	1	2	3X	4	
	Novice	Some knowledge	Familiar	Expert	
<b>Significance</b> Technical relevance and practicality of ideas in the paper	1	2X	3		
	Not significant	Somewhat significant	Highly significant		
<b>Novelty</b> How original the problem and/or solution method is	1	2X	3		
	Not novel	Somewhat novel	Highly novel		
<b>Quality of Presentation</b> Writing and presentation style/accuracy	1X	2	3		
	Poorly written	Could be improved	Well written		
<b>Overall Recommendation</b>	1X	2	3	4	5
	Strong reject	Weak reject	Weak accept	Accept	Strong accept

**Contributions**

Anycast with MIPv6

**Strengths and weaknesses**

The paper is hard to read – very poor English and much too long. I didn't read all, as this would be torture. So no real review has been done.

**Detailed public comments**

The paper needs substantial English improvements and needs to be shortened to 1/3!!  
Do that and submit again.