Linguaggi Semantici per la Rappresentazione e Gestione di Politiche di Controllo

DEIS – Università di Bologna



Firb – Web Minds: "Profili e Metadati"- Bologna, 11/12/2003

Outline

- Policy-based management: Why?
 - □ Motivation and background
 - □ Emerging policy-based management
- Policy-based management of multi-agent and distributed system
 - □ A traditional approach: Ponder
 - Semantic Web Languages for policy specification: KAoS and Rei
 - □ Comparison of KAoS, Rei and Ponder
 - Main benefits and drawbacks of Semantic Web Languages for policy specification, reasoning and deployment
- POEMA: Middleware for policy-controlled mobile applications

Motivations and background

Policies are constraints that dynamically regulate the behavior of a system without changing code nor requiring the cooperation of the components being governed

- Benefits: Reusability, efficiency, extensibility, context-sensitivity, verifiability, reasoning over component behavior...
- Policies for network management
 - Automation of complex management task: configuration, security, recovery, QoS
- New policy management fields:
 - Management of full range of behavior for multiagent and distributed systems

Policy-based management of multi-agent and distributed systems

- Technical policy categories
 - □ Authentication
 - □ Access and protection
 - Communication
 - Resource control
 - $\hfill\square$ Monitoring and response
 - □ Mobility
- Social policy categories
 - Social organization
 - Notification
 - Conversation
 - Nonverbal expression
 - □ Collaboration and teamwork
 - Adjustable autonomy



Policy Specification: an example

Communication Policy example:



"professors are permitted to communicate the final examination grade to their students using an encrypted communication only after the approval of the institute's director"



Ponder – Policy Specification

Communication Policy example:

```
domain prof = /SysEntities/Agents/ProfessorAgents;
domain stud = /SysEntities/Agents/StudentsAgents;
inst auth+ ExamGradeCommunication {
```

```
subject s= prof;
target t = /SysEntities/SysServices/CommunicationChannel;
action t.communication ("Encrypted", data, destination);
when data.getType = "Grade"
  && destination == (stud -> select (st | st.professor == s))
  && s.receivedApproval(s.getInstituteDirector()) == 'true';
}
```

Ponder - Policy Specification

Ponder can describe any rule to constrain the behavior of components, in a simple and declarative way

...however...

 Ponder does not take care of the description of the content of the policy (e.g. description of the specified components, the system, etc.)



The adoption of a semantic web language can overcome this limitation

KAoS - Policy Specification



KAoS policy

- Policies and domains represented in DAML (soon OWL) as ontologies
 - Classes and related properties to describe actions, actors, resources, situations, groups, and policies
 - Collection of policy management services
 - Provides means to access the policy service from several agent and distributed computing environments (<u>Nomads</u>, CoABS <u>Grid</u>, <u>Cougaar</u>, Brahms, <u>CORBA</u>, OGSA-compliant <u>grid computing</u>, <u>Web Services</u>)

KAoS Ontology

 KAoS Policy Ontology distinguish between authorization and obligation policies



KAoS: KPAT	Hides	Com	olexity
State of the second			

	Completo Informatio	p				
Policies Templates	rempiate informatio					
Actor Classes Namespaces Query	Name: Generic DAML Editor					
Domain wew	Description: Gener	ic editor for DAM	L policies			
Actor	Dellers F.84					
Administrator	Policy Ealtor					
Agent	Defended also	-1	*** ****	0044		
ArtificialActor	Policy la: #p	olicy-a94a9171-00	10-0000-8000-00	Juudeadbeer		
CAAdministrator	Policy name: E	ampleAction				
DomainManager	Description					
General	Description: Allow members of Domain A to communicate with members outside of its domain using encrypted co					
GroupActor	rn	nunication				
Guard						
Guest	Priority: 1					
HardwareActor		[
L Human		Member	sOfDomainA	▼ i	is authorized 🔻	
Logistician		to conform		unication Action	with properties:	
LogisticsViewer		to perform	Encrypteacomm	unicationAction	• with properties:	
MembersOfDomainA						
MembersofDomainCommunity1-AD-COMM	Role	is subs	Restriction	Complement	t Value(s)	
MembersofDomainCommunity1-AD-DIV-COM	naspesunauor	i is subs		•	ImembersorbomainAj	
MembersofDomainCommunity1-BDE-1-AD-CO						
MembersofDomainCommunity16-CSG-COMM						
MembersofDomainCommunity2-BDE-1-AD-CO						
MembersOfDomainCommunity21-TSC-COMM						
MembersofDomainCommunity29-SPTGP-CON						
MembersufDomainCommunity3-BDE-1-AD-CO						
MembersofDomainCommunity3-SUPCOM-CO	1			10		
MembersutDomainCommunity37-1RANSGP-0			Ada	a Remove		
MembersotDomainCommunity5-CORPS-ARTY						
MembersofDomainCommunity5-CORPS-COM	Redeciseseseseseseseseses					
MembersotDomainCommunity5-CORPS-REAF					OK	Cancel
MembersotDomainCommunity7-CSG-COMM						
MembersOfDomainCommunityAVNBDE-1-AD-1	Policy Changes					
	,				Connection	Defeash
Add Actor Class Show Instances					Commit	Rerresh

Rei – Policy Specification



- Prolog-like syntax for policy specification
- A policy framework that supports policy specification analysis and reasoning in pervasive computing applications

Rei Ontology

- Policies and domains represented in RDF-S as ontologies
 - Domain-independent ontologies include description of 'Policies', 'Rules', 'Conditions', 'Entities' and 'Actions'
 - Rei accepts also domain-dependent ontologies, in any language that can be converted into the form of triple recognizable by the Rei Policy Engine

Example:	<rdfs:class rdf:id="CommunicationAction"> <rdfs:subclassof rdf:resource="DomainAction"></rdfs:subclassof> </rdfs:class>
	<rdf:property rdf:id="hasDestination"> <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="#CommunicationAction"></rdfs:domain> </rdf:property>
	<rdf:property rdf:id="carriesMessage"> <rdfs:domain rdf:resource="#CommunicationAction"></rdfs:domain> </rdf:property>

Comparison

	KAoS	Rei	Ponder
Ontology- based	Yes	Yes	No
Specification language	DAML/OWL	Rei: (Prolog-like syntax + RDF-S)	Ponder (declarative specification)
Tools for policy specification	KPAT – Graphical editor for ontology and policy management	No** ** a GUI is being developed for the next Rei version	Graphical editor and compiler
Reasoning support	Java Theorem Prover	Prolog engine	Event calculus representation
Enforcement mechanisms	Need to write the code of appropriate enforcers and to insert them in entities to control ** ** Policy automation being explored for the next version	Action execution is outside the Rei engine	Java interfaces for enforcement agents are provided

Semantic Web Languages for policy Specification: why?

	Semantic web languages for policy specification	Ponder ** ** used as example of non-semantic web language	
Expressiveness	Capable of representing concepts and behavior of any complex environment	Capable of controlling specific sorts of behavior within object-oriented systems	
	Multiple levels of abstraction	Low level of abstraction: object level	
	Easy to extend policy ontology at runtime with new concepts	Extensibility supported by object- oriented inheritance at compile-time	
Analyzability	Ontology representation simplifies and directly supports policy reasoning, conflict detection and harmonization	Conflict detection requires transforming policy specification into an event calculus representation	
	Simplified access to policy information by querying the ontology	Access to single policy object by API – Access to policy repository to be designed	
Ease-of-use	Need of specialized tools to assist unskilled users with policy specification and interpretation	Language specifically designed for simple policy specification and direct readability	
Enforceability	High-level specification requires skilled programmers or sophisticated policy automation mechanisms for enforcement	Detailed specifications can be directly mapped into policy enforcement mechanisms	
	Policy sharing among heterogeneous systems requires an agreement on a common ontology	Policy sharing among heterogeneous systems requires agreement on interfaces	

POEMA: Policy Enabled MObile Applications

- Policies for governing the mobility behaviour of mobile agents
 - □ Separation of Concerns
 - Mobility Policies specify: When, Where and Which Unit of mobility must migrate
 - □ Directly implementable policies represented in Ponder

inst oblig MobPol1 {
 on CPULoad(90);
 subject s = agents/Manager;
 do s.go(G1.toString(), "run");
 when
 MonitoringSystem.isReachable(G1);
 }
 inst oblig MobPol2 {
 on CPULoad(90);
 subject s = System/Relocator;
 target t = agents/Manager;
 do s.relocate(t, G1.toString(), "run");
 when
 MonitoringSystem.isReachable(G1);
 }

http://www.lia.deis.unibo.it/Research/POEMA/

POEMA Architecture



