### Global Environment Model

STREET STREET

#### MUTUAL EXCLUSION PROBLEM

The operations used by processes to access to common resources (critical sections) must be mutually exclusive in time No assumptions should be made about relative process speed

#### → A, B critical sections

B

#### Example

During their execution
P1 and P2 access a common
variable count(i.v.=0)
and increment it by 1

#### Example

When P1 and P2 terminate
 their executions count
 must be incremented by 2
 count=2

Note that the increment of count, when P1 execute it, may be implemented in machine language as:

reg1 = count reg1 = reg1 +1 count = reg1 Where reg1 is a local CPU register used by P1 Similarly the increment of count, when P2 executes it, may be implemented in machine language as:

reg2 = count
reg2 = reg2 +1
count = reg2

where reg2 is a local CPU register used by P2

## The concurrent execution of the statement

#### ++count

is equivalent to a sequential execution where the statements can be interleaved in any arbitrary order T0: reg1=count
T1: reg2=count
T2: reg2=reg2+1
T3: count=reg2
T4: reg1=reg1+1
T5: count=reg1

(reg1=0) (P1) (reg2=0) (P2) (reg2=1) (P2) (count=1) (P2) (reg1=1) (P1) (count=1) (P1)

#### RACE CONDITION: Several processes concurrently access and manipulate the same data

#### RACE CONDITION:

The outcome of the execution depends on the particular order in wich the access takes place

To prevent race conditions, we need to assure that only one process at a time can be operating on the same variable count

#### To grant that invariant, we need some form of process synchronization



# SOLUTION TO THE MUTUAL EXCLUSION PROBLEM

Ρ

busy = 1 the resource is busy busy = 0 the resource is free

```
while (busy ==1);
    busy =1
    < critical section A>;
busy =0;
```

**P**<sub>2</sub> while (busy ==1); busy =1 < critical section B>; busy =0; CB6

T<sub>0</sub>: P<sub>1</sub> executes while and busy=0 T<sub>1</sub>: P<sub>2</sub> executes while and busy=0 T<sub>2</sub>: P<sub>1</sub> set busy=1 and accesses to A T<sub>3</sub>: P<sub>2</sub> set busy=1 and accesses to B

Both processes have simultaneous access to their critical section

#### TSL (Test and Set Lock)

Instruction that reads and modifies the contents of a memory word in an indivisible way The CPU, while executing the TSL instruction, locks the memory bus to prohibit other CPUs from accessing memory until the instruction is completed

#### TSL R, x:

It reads the content of x into the register R and then stores a non zero value at that memory address

#### TSL R, x: The operations of reading a word and storing into it are garantee to be indivisible by the hardware level

#### lock(x), unlock(x)lock(x):

CMP register,0 JNE lock

RET

TSL register, x (copy x to register and set x=1) (was x zero?) (if non zero the cycle is restarted) (return to caller; critical region entered)

## unlock(x): MOVE x, 0 (store a 0 in x) RET (return to caller)

## Soluzione con lock(x) e unlock(x):

 $P_1$ 

lock(x); <sezione critica A>; unlock (x);

## Soluzione con lock(x) e unlock(x):

**P**<sub>2</sub>

lock(x); <sezione critica B>; unlock (x) ;  SOLUTION PROPERTIES
 busy waiting
 multiprocessor systems

"very shorts" critical sections



#### SEMAPHORES

A semaphor s is a integer non negative variable, initialized to a nonnegative value s.value

#### s is associated with a waiting list, in wich are linked the PCBs of processes blocked on s.

s.queue



#### A semaphore s is accessed only via two standard operations



P(s) If s.value>0 the process continues its execution, if s.value=0 the process is blocked in the s.queue V(s) A process in the s.queue is waked and extracted; its state is modified from blocked to ready

# void P(s) { if (s.value==0) <the process is blocked and its PCB is inserted in the s.queue>; else s.value= s.value-1;

#### void V(s)

if ( < there is at least one
process in s.queue>)

<the PCB of the first of these
processes is taken out from
s.queue and its state is
modified from blocked to ready>;

else s.value = s.value + 1;

#### As a consequence of the execution of a V(s) the state of the process does not change

The decision of wich process is to be extracted from the s.queue is taken with a FIFO policy

#### MUTUAL EXCLUSION

mutex: semaphore associated
to the shared resource
(i. v. mutex=1)

P (mutex)
<sezione critica>
V (mutex)

P1 P(mutex) <A> V (mutex) **P**3 (mutex) Ρ <C> (mutex) V

P2 P(mutex) <B> V (mutex) P and V must be indivisible operations

The modification of the value of the semaphore and the possible blocking or waking up of a process must be indivisible operations P,V: critical sections
with reference to the
data structure
represented by mutex
(mutex.value, mutex.queue)

INDIVISIBILITY OF P AND V BY

Disabling interrupts (when P,V are executing on the same processor)

#### INDIVISIBILITY OF P AND V BY

Using lock(x), unlock(x)(
when P,V are executing
on different processors)

indivisible P lock(x);P (mutex); unlock(x); <sezione critica>; lock(x);(mutex); unlock(x);

